### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: BCF LLP 1100, Rene-Levesque Blvd. W 25th Floor MONTREAL, Quebec Canada, H3B 5C9	BCF S.E.N. est 3 0 MAI REÇU/REC	2005 INTERNAT  EIVED  Date of mailing	PCT  RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 43bis.1)  26 May 2005 (26-05-2005)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 08241-185		(day/month/year)  FOR FURTHER A Se	CTION se paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/CA2005/000220 International fit 18 February 20		c (day/month/year) 02-2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18 February 2004 (18-02-2004)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC 7 G10L-19/04, G10L-19/12				
Applicant VOICEAGE CORPORATION ET AL				
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:				
[X] Box No. I Basis	of the opinion			
[ ] Box No. II Priorit	у			
[ ] Box No. III Non-e	stablishment of opinion wi	th regard to novelty, inv	entive step and industrial applicability	
[X] Box No. IV Lack of	of unity of invention			
* -	ned statement under Rule ability; citations and expla		to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement.	
[ ] Box No. VI Certai	n documents cited		•	
[ ] Box No. VII Certai	n defects in the internation	al application		
[X] Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.				
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/C Canadian Intellectual Property Office Place du Portage I, C114 - 1st Floor, Bo 50 Victoria Street Gatineau, Quebec K1A 0C9 Facsimile No.: 001(819)953-2476		Authorized officer  Le	ah Smith (819) 956-9966	

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000220

Ro	x No. I Basis of this opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	[ ] This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material
	[ ] a sequence listing
	[ ] table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material
	[ ] in written format
	[ ] in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing
	[ ] contained in the international application as filed.
	[ ] filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	[ ] furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	
	furnished, the required statement that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000220

No. IV Lack of unity of invention
[X] In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
[ ] paid additional fees
[ ] paid additional fees under protest
[X] not paid additional fees
[ ] This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
[ ] complied with
[X] not complied with for the following reasons:
There are 4 different inventions claimed as follows:
Group A - claims 1-34  This group of claims is directed to a method and device for low-frequency emphasizing the spectrum of a sound signal comprising the steps of calculating a maximum energy of a block, calculating a factor for each block having a position index smaller than the position index of the block with maximum energy comprising the steps of computing an energy of the block, computing the factor from the calculated maximum energy and the computed energy of the block, wherein for each block a gain is determined from the factor.
Group B - claims 35-53  This group of claims is directed to a method and device for high frequency coding comprising the steps of performing LPC analysis on LF and HF signals, calculating an estimation of an HF matching gain, calculating the energy of the HF signal, processing the LF signal to produce a synthesized version of the HF signal, calculating the energy of the synthesized HF signal calculating a ratio between the calculated energy and the synthesized version of the HF signal to obtain an HF compensating gain, calculating a difference between the estimation of the HF matching gain and the HF compensating gain to obtain a gain correction.
Group C - claims 54-66  This group of claims is directed to a method and device for decoding an HF signal comprising the steps of receiving the coded HF signal, extracting LPC coefficients and a gain correction from the coded HF signal, calculating an estimation of the HF gain from the extracted LPC coefficients, adding the gain correction to the calculated estimation of the HF gain to obtain a HF gain, amplifying a LF excitation signal by the HF gain producing a HF excitation signal, and processing the HF excitation to produce a synthesized version of the HF signal.
Group D - claims 67-92  This group of claims is directed to a method and device for switching between two sound signal coding modes wherein the sound signal is filtered to produce a weighted signal comprising the steps of calculating a zero-input response of the weighting filter; windowing the zero-input response so that the zero-input response has an amplitude monotonically decreasing to zero, and in the current frame, removing the windowed zero-input response from the weighted signal.
Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:  [ ] all parts  [X] the parts relating to claim Nos. 1-34

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000220

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(I) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. Statement YES 1-34 Novelty (N) Claims NO Claims none YES 1-34 Inventive step (IS) Claims NO Claims none YES Claims Industrial applicability (IA) 1-34 NO Claims none

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

D1 - US 6,266,632 B1 (Kato et al) 24 July 2001

D1 is considered the most relevant prior art. D1 disclose speech decoding method and apparatus wherein a gain parameter is corrected according to an energy of a speech signal. An energy extractor extracts an energy value corresponding to an excitation index, an energy value decision section decides whether the energy value is within a predetermined range (defined by an upper and lower limit), and gain control is performed on the signal when it falls within the predetermined range.

Claims 1-34 appear to be novel and involve an inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT) since none of the prior art calculates a factor for each block, having a position index smaller than a position index of a block with maximum energy, wherein the factor is a function of the energy in each block and the energy of the block with maximum energy.

Claims 1-34 have industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT).

International application No. PCT/CA2005/000220

Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
The following obs- by the description,	ervations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported, are made:
Claims 1, 13, 14 are indexed with	lack clarity (article 6 PCT). The expression "having a position index" is too vague, it is not clear if the blocks in a frame, or a table, etc.